

UNITED STATED DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE FIRST NAMED INVENTOR ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. 09/665,143 09/19/00 PALMER Κ JLD-1096-US **EXAMINER** PM82/1002 JAKE LEE DUKE ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER ATTORNEY AT LAW 1001 WEST LOOP SOUTH SUITE 100 HOUSTON TX 77027 3635 DATE MAILED:

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

10/02/01

Office Action Summary

Application No. 09/665,143

Applicant(s)

Palmer

Examiner

Robert Canfield

Art Unit 3635



-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address -Period for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3_____ MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136 (a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). 1) X Responsive to communication(s) filed on Sep 19, 2000 2b) This action is non-final. 2a) This action is FINAL. 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11; 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) X Claim(s) 1-20 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above, claim(s) _______ is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) ☐ Claim(s) 6) 💢 Claim(s) <u>1, 2, 5, 8-15, 18, and 20</u> is/are rejected. 7) 💢 Claim(s) 3, 4, 6, 7, 16, 17, and 19 is/are objected to. 8) Claims are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. **Application Papers** 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on <u>Sep 19, 2000</u> is/are objected to by the Examiner. 11)☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a)☐ approved b)☐ disapproved. 12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 13) Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d). a) All b) Some* c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). *See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 14) Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e). Attachment(s) 15) X Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 18) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s). 16) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 19) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) 17) X Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s).

Serial Number: 09/665143 Page 2

Art Unit: 3635

1. This is a first Office action on the merits for application serial number 09/665143 filed 09/19/00. Claims 1-20 are pending.

- 2. Examiner Holly Sy required restriction during a telephonic interview with Jackie Lee Duke on August 6, 2001. The restriction requirement is hereby vacated.
- 3. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless --

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 4. Claims 1, 5, 8, 11 and 14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Applicant's disclosure of the prior art.

Page 1 of the specification states that baseplates comprised of a cavity filled with grout and having precisely machined mounting pads/surfaces are known in the prior art.

As to the method claims, the examiner notes that claims are not limited to any particular sequence of steps.

As to the product claims, the examiner notes that a product is not limited by the sequence of its manufacture but only by the resulting final product.

Serial Number: 09/665143 Page 3

Art Unit: 3635

5. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness

rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are

such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person

having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the

manner in which the invention was made.

6. Claims 9, 10, 12, 13, 15, 18 and 20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being

unpatentable over Applicant's disclosure of the prior art.

It is well known that temperature and time directly effect cure times and the particular

material choice for the grout is viewed as a choice of design which would have been obvious at

the time of the invention to one having ordinary skill in the art for the inherent properties of the

materials.

7. Claims 3, 4, 6, 7, 16-17 and 19 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base

claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of

the base claim and any intervening claims.

8. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's

disclosure.

Serial Number: 09/665143 Page 4

Art Unit: 3635

9. The examiner acknowledges receipt of the IDS filed 10/30/00. An initialed copy of the

1449 form is attached.

10. The drawings are objected to as failing to comply with 37 CFR 1.84(p)(5) because they do

not include the following reference sign(s) mentioned in the description: 23 (form, page 5 spec).

Correction is required.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner 11.

should be directed to Robert Canfield whose telephone number is (703) 308-2482. The examiner

can normally be reached on M-Th.

The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is

assigned is (703) 305-3597.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding

should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-2168.

Primary Examiner